

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,571	06/23/2003		Masao Hori	HARA-072-046	9645	
20374	7590	09/29/2004	•	EXAMINER		
KUBOVCI	K & KUF	BOVCIK		NGUYEN, TU MINH		
SUITE 710						
900 17TH STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				3748		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4			^
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$1 \Lambda / \Lambda$
	10/600,571	HORI ET AL.	VV C
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tu M. Nguyen	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
Period for Reply	DIVIO 057 TO 5VDIDE 014	IONTHION FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the magnitude of the set of	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a user reply within the statutory minimum of thir idod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<u> July 2004</u> .		
/	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	W. Last's a service and		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	a/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) $igotimes$ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2003 is/are			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			ED 4 424(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of Toffit P	10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			_
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this inational	Stage
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, D N	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:	· ·	

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on July 20, 2004 has been entered. Claims 1, 3, and 6 have been amended; and claims 8-11 have been added. Overall, claims 1-11 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nomura et al. (U.S. Patent 5,174,111) in view of legal precedent.

Re claims 1 and 11, as illustrated in Figures 12, 13, and 23, Nomura et al. disclose a process for purifying exhaust gas from gasoline engines comprising a step of purifying exhaust gas from a gasoline engine (2A) of a fuel-direct-injection type by contacting said exhaust gas with a single exhaust-gas purifying-use catalyst (18A) that contains a noble metal and a fire-resistant inorganic oxide (zeolite) carrying the noble metal, the fire-resistant inorganic oxide being active alumina, titania, or zirconia, or a composite oxide thereof (lines 3-5 of Abstract),

Art Unit: 3748

wherein the gasoline engine (2A) of a fuel-direct-injection type is one which allows fuel to be directly injected inside a cylinder of the engine, and

wherein the exhaust gas varies between a first exhaust gas state (high engine speed and high engine load area of region B in Figure 13) having a relatively high exhaust-gas temperature at an inlet of the catalyst, and a second exhaust state (medium engine speed and medium engine load area (region A in Figure 13)) that forms a more oxidizing, low-temperature atmosphere as compared with the first exhaust gas state, depending on changes in air-fuel ratio, the second exhaust gas state having a relatively low exhaust-gas temperature at the inlet of the catalyst (also see Figure 2 and lines 46-57 of column 8).

Nomura et al., however, fail to specifically disclose that the exhaust gas temperature is in a range of 350°C to 800°C for the first exhaust gas state and in a range of 200°C to 500°C for the second exhaust gas state.

Nomura et al. disclose the claimed invention except for specifying an optimum range of exhaust gas temperature for each of the first exhaust gas state and the second exhaust gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a specific optimum range of exhaust gas temperature for each given exhaust gas state, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 3748

Re claim 2, in the process of Nomura et al., the exhaust gas is purified by removing hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen oxides from the exhaust gas by the use of the catalyst (18A).

Re claim 3, in the process of Nomura et al., the first exhaust gas state appears when the air-fuel ratio is in the range of 13 to 15 (in the high engine load and engine speed area, the engine air-fuel ratio is approximately stoichiometry (lines 50-53 of column 8)), and the second exhaust gas state appears when the air-fuel ratio exceeds the above-mentioned air-fuel ratio (in the medium engine load and speed area, the engine air-fuel ratio is lean).

Re claim 4, in the process of Nomura et al., the second exhaust gas state appears when the air-fuel ratio ranges from more than 15 up to 50 (see paragraph above).

Re claim 5, in the process of Nomura et al., the catalyst (18A) includes at least one kind of noble metals, selected from the group consisting of platinum, palladium, rhodium, and iridium.

Re claim 7, in the process of Nomura et al., the catalyst (18A) further comprises a transition metal (see line 5 of Abstract).

Re claim 8, in the process of Nomura et al.,

- the gasoline engine includes a cylinder that serves as a combustion chamber for gasoline as a fuel; an ignition plug (not shown but obviously must have); an injector (8A) that is used for injecting the fuel; a control section (10A) for controlling an ignition timing of the ignition plug and an amount of fuel injection of the injector, and

Art Unit: 3748

- the control section (10A) controls an air-fuel ratio depending on the injector so as to cause the gasoline engine to be in the second exhaust gas state.

Re claims 9-10, in the process of Nomura et al., the control section controls an air-fuel ratio depending on the injector so that a temperature of the exhaust gas at an inlet of the catalyst is not more than a threshold value so as to cause the gasoline engine to be in the second exhaust gas state (see Figure 1: step 104 with NO answer and step 106 with YES answer).

Nomura et al., however, fail to specifically disclose that the threshold value is 350°C or 300°C.

Nomura et al. disclose the claimed invention except for specifying an optimum value of exhaust gas temperature at which the catalyst is maintained under. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a specific optimum value of exhaust gas temperature to maintain the catalyst under, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nomura et al. in view of legal precedent as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of official notice.

The process of Nomura et al. discloses the invention as cited above, however, fails to disclose that the catalyst includes at least one of platinum and iridium.

It is well known to those with ordinary skill in the art that platinum is a typical noble metal utilized in the catalyst (18A) of Nomura et al. Therefore, such disclosure by Nomura et al. is notoriously well known in the art so as to be proper for official notice.

Art Unit: 3748

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the references applied in the previous Office Action have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of three patents: Katoh et al. (U.S. Patent 5,402,641), Takahashi et al. (U.S. Patent 5,564,404), and Iida (U.S. Patent 5,575,266) further disclose a state of the art.

Art Unit: 3748

Page 7

Communication

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2833 or (571) 272-4862 to be effective on November 22, 2004.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623 or (571) 272-4859 to be effective on November 22, 2004. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

TMN

September 28, 2004

Tu M. Nguyen

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3748